

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APP	PLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
00/116,0	19 09/02/93	BEERY		J	JB2_3
_		26M2/1209		COHEN,	XAMINER
	. BOSHINSKI LEGATE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TA, GA 30202			DATE MAILED:	
_				DATE MAILED.	12/09/94

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

see attachment

Commissioner of Patents

TOL-90 (Rev. 6/84)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ALPHARETTA, GA 30202 2602	
26M2/1209 THOMAS A. BOSHINSKI 210 DAPPLEGATE WAY ALPHARETTA, GA 30202 2602	TO ANNADED
26M2/1209 THOMAS A. BOSHINSKI 210 DAPPLEGATE WAY ALPHARETTA, GA 30202 2602	
210 DAPPLEGATE WAY ALPHARETTA, GA 30202 2602	TO ANIMADED
ALPHARETTA, GA 30202 2602	
2602	ER NUMBER
	12
DATE MAILED:	Arriva
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD 12/09	/ 74
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Tom BOSHINSKI REG. No. 30, 611 (3) JIM GROODY	
(2) CHERYL COHEN (4)	
(2) CHERYL COHEN (4)	
Date of interview 12-6-94	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☑ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☑ applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement user was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. Was not reached.	
Claims discussed:	•
Ciamo diocussos.	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
	•
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	OVERALL
PRISECUTION OF THE CASE AND SPECIFICALLY DISCUSSED AND	Mil-au-
	DEKVIEY
OF THE CLAIMS AND HOW THEY DIFFER FROM THE 1 73.	1 AND
1947 PATENTS AS NEW AS THE REEXAMINATION OF THE "	72./
141 MENTS HIS WELL PIS INTERESTANTIALITION UP THE	392
PATENT.	
	must be
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	ou.,
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.	ION IS NOT the last Office
attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to	ION IS NOT the last Office view. , rejections and ed to fulfill the

-2-

Serial Number: 08/116,019

Art Unit: 2602

1. In view of concurrent litigation, and in order to avoid duplication of effort between the two proceedings, action in this reissue application is STAYED until such time as it is evident to the examiner that (1) a stay of the litigation is in effect, (2) the litigation has been terminated, (3) there are no significant overlapping issues between the application and the litigation, or (4) applicant requests that the application be examined.

2. The patent sought to be reissued by this application is involved in litigation. Any documents and/or materials, including the defenses raised against validity or against enforceability because of fraud or inequitable conduct, which would be material to the examination of this reissue application are required to be made of record in response to this action.

Due to the related litigation status of this application, extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) will not be permitted during the prosecution of this application.

THE TIME PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. THIS ONE MONTH PERIOD MAY BE EXTENDED ONLY UPON A SHOWING OF CLEAR JUSTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.136(b).

Serial Number: 08/116,019

Art Unit: 2602

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Cohen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5080.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Cherýl Cohen

December 6, 1994

James J. Groody **Supervisory Patent Examiner**

Art Unit 262